

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/356,543	07/19/1999	MATTHEW D. BARNHART	VMS98-01PM	7963
21005 7	590 01/10/2005	EXAMINER		
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD			RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
P.O. BOX 9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CONCORD, N	CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/356,543	BARNHART ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sam Rimell	2165			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision of t	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute. cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 9,12-15 and 18-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 9,12-15 and 18-25 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 26-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. SAM RIMELL						
Attachmen	t(s)		PRIMARY EXAMINER			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Claims 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rensimer (U.S. Patent 6,154,726) in view of Mayaud (5,845,255, previously cited by applicant).

Claim 26: The hand held device (130) is a memory device. The patient detail is shown in FIG. 3A. The detail is specific to a single physician ("Brent Beasley"). The detail shows patient locations ("Hospital: HIL") and an indication as to whether the patient has been seen on a certain date (Admitted: 1/25/94). The date the patient is seen can be the same date as the date on which data is entered. The memory device will further display billing code information (diagnoses in FIG. 3B which are used to generate billing codes) and associated guidelines (the pop-up displays shown in items #17 and #18 in col. 17).

The user interface includes demographic information ("DOB: 3/14/1967"), an indication of whether the patient has been seen ("admitted: 11/25/1994"), as well as billing code information (diagnoses in FIG. 3B used to generate billing codes) and guideline information (pop-up windows in col. 17, items #17 and #18). A communications cable (140 in FIG. 1) act as a communications interface between the memory containing hand held processing device (130) and server (100).

Application/Control Number: 09/356,543 Page 3

Art Unit: 2175

The user interface capability of sorting the list is recited as an intended usage of the interface rather than programming encoded into the held device, and accordingly, does not carry

patentable weight.

Rensimer differs in that it does not illustrate a list of multiple patients in addition to the detailed listing of data for each individual patient. However, FIG. 2 of Mayaud illustrates such a patient listing as part of display screen on a PDA device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Rensimer to include an additional screen listing multiple patients so as to provide the physician a summary of the patients being treated, as taught by

Mayaud.

Claim 27: Col. 17, items #17 and #18 constitute the display of a billing code menu. FIG.

5 illustrates the display of a diagnosis menu.

Claim 28: In col. 17, items #17 and #18 the display of billing code information is

associated with specific medical procedures associated with neonatal care. For example, "Initial

NICU" is considered a medical procedure involving the assignment of a an infant to a neo-natal

intensive care unit. Other actions, such as "History, exam, diag" are evaluation actions performed

by the physician.

Claim 29: FIG. 3B illustrates the display of a list of stock phrases for insertion in a

report.

<u>Claim 30:</u> See col. 17, items #18 and #18.

Claims 9, 12-15 and 18-25 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2175

Remarks

Claims 26-30 remain the only claims under rejection. The rejection is based on new grounds which are not necessitated by amendment, and accordingly, this action is made non-final.

The remaining claims of record, claims 9, 12-15, and 18-25 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (703) 306-5626.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2175